1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 JEROME L. GRIMES, C 12-4551 JSW (PR) Nos. 7 C 12-4552 JSW (PR) C 12-4816 JSW (PR) 8 Plaintiff. C 12-4820 JSW (PR) C 12-4821 JSW (PR) 9 C 12-4822 JSW (PR) C 12-4896 JSW (PR) v. 10 C 12-4897 JSW (PR) C 12-4898 JSW (PR) KING SECURITY SERVICES, et al., 11 ORDER OF DISMISSAL 12 Defendants. 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Over the course of approximately three weeks, Plaintiff, an inmate in the San Mateo County Jail and frequent litigator in this Court, filed these nine pro se civil rights cases. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is incarcerated. *See Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).

In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the present actions and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the "imminent danger" clause. Succinctly put, these actions challenge the validity of the arrest and criminal charges which led to his current incarceration. As has been explained to Plaintiff countless times, such claims are barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994) (state prisoner's § 1983 complaint for damages which implies invalidity of conviction or sentence must be dismissed unless plaintiff demonstrates conviction or sentence has already been invalidated). Therefore, it would be futile to grant Plaintiff leave to amend. And even if Plaintiff did amend, he would be required to pay the \$350.00 filing fee, which he has never done.

Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). The applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* are DENIED. No fee is due. If Plaintiff is so inclined, he may bring his claims in a new action accompanied by the \$350.00 filing fee. In any event, the Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma pauperis* status.

The Clerk of the Court shall CLOSE the file and TERMINATE all pending motions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 4, 2012

Jnited States District Judge

1	1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	4		
5			
6			
7	7 Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
8			
9	JOHN DOE et al,		
10	Defendant/		
11			
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. Court, Northern District of California.	District	
13	That on October 4, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by place said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed by	cing v	
14	said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.	ce	
15			
16	Jerome L. Grimes 12675072 A-4, 9 bunk		
17	San Francisco County Jail #1		
18	850 Bryant Street San Francisco, CA 94103		
19	19		
20	Dated: October 4, 2012		
21	Ric b ard W. Wieking, Clerk 21 By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE			
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
4				
5	IEDOME I CDIMES			
6	JEROME L GRIMES, Case Number: CV12-04552 JSW CV12-04816 JSW			
7	Plaintiff, CV12-04810 JSW CV12-04820 JSW CV12-04821 JSW			
8	V. CV12-04822 JSW CV12-04896 JSW			
9	DR BRIAN SCEMENSKY et al, CV12-04897 JSW CV12-04898 JSW			
10	Defendant.			
11	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. Distri	rict		
13	Court, Northern District of California. That on October 4, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18	Jerome L. Grimes 1 Moreland Drive			
19	#12675072 San Bruno, CA 94066			
20	Dated: October 4, 2012 Genniger Ottolini			
21	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				